



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Meat Science

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/meatsciCO₂ stunning may compromise swine welfare compared with electrical stunningM. Becerril-Herrera^a, M. Alonso-Spilsbury^b, C. Lemus-Flores^c, I. Guerrero-Legarreta^d,
A. Olmos-Hernández^b, R. Ramírez-Necochea^b, D. Mota-Rojas^{b,*}^a Doctorate Program in Biological Sciences at Universidad Autónoma Metropolitana Iztapalapa-Xochimilco, Mexico. Calzada del Hueso 1100, Col. Villa Quietud, D.F. 04960, Mexico^b Departamento de Producción Agrícola y Animal, Universidad Autónoma Metropolitana-Xochimilco, Calzada del Hueso 1100, Col. Villa Quietud, D.F. 04960, Mexico^c Facultad de Medicina Veterinaria y Zootecnia, Universidad Autónoma de Nayarit, Ciudad de la Cultura Amado Nervo, Nayarit 63190, Mexico^d Laboratorio Bioquímica de Macromoléculas, Departamento de Biotecnología, Universidad Autónoma Metropolitana-Iztapalapa, D.F. 09340, Mexico

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 9 April 2008

Received in revised form 24 July 2008

Accepted 28 July 2008

Keywords:

Animal welfare

Carbon dioxide electrical

Stunning

Electronarcosis

Pigs

ABSTRACT

The effects of two different stunning methods on critical blood values in fattening pigs at a federal inspection slaughtering plant were monitored. A total of 658 pigs from the same genetic line and origin, were randomly assigned to 3 treatments: reference baseline levels (resting pigs; T1), stunning with CO₂ (T2) and stunned electrically (T3). Energetic profile, acid imbalance and blood gas levels, were monitored. Significant differences ($p \leq 0.05$) between treatments for all variables were found, CO₂ stunned pigs showed hypercapnia, hypercalcemia, hyperglucemia, lactic acidemia, and an increase in haematocrit, coupled with reduced pH, P_{O_2} , and Na; electrically stunned pigs had reduced blood pH, P_{CO_2} and P_{O_2} . The remaining indicators were increased in relation to the resting swine. Thus CO₂ stunning leads to a major imbalance because of mineral and acid base gaseous interchange, compared to electric stunning, thus possibly compromising animal welfare.

© 2008 Published by Elsevier Ltd.

1. Introduction

Maintaining high standards of animal welfare during transportation and slaughter requires the appropriate equipment and supervision of employees. Besides, animals should be unconscious at the time of slaughter in order to avoid pain and stress during the procedure (Gracey, 1989; Grandin, 2003). Most developed countries and many developing countries have laws that require stunning before sacrifice (FAO, 2001). Stunning is based on producing insensibility by striking the animal or other means.

Sacrifice of swine is carried out by bleeding the arteries and veins of the brachiocephalic trunk that interrupts the nutrient and oxygen supply to the brain, causing death of the animal. Therefore acceptable stunning systems must guarantee quick action rendering the animal unconscious without pain, and the unconscious state must be prolonged till the animal's death (Quiroga & García, 1994).

A stunning system can be reversible or irreversible. In the first case, animals can recover consciousness before death; therefore, the time between stunning and bleeding is a determining factor with regard to stunning efficiency. On the contrary, irreversible stunning systems "stun" and cause death of the animal simultaneously. In this case, the objective of the sacrifice is to drain the blood from the carcass, for which time would not be critical from

the animal welfare point of view (Quiroga & García, 1994; Velarde, Faucitano, Manteca, & Diestre, 2000a).

Currently, the most frequently used methods for stunning swine are electric stunning and exposure to carbon dioxide. The aim of this study was to compare the effect of these stunning methods on the energetic profile, acid imbalances, as well as gaseous interchange, as means to determine animal welfare.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Location

This study was carried out in a federal inspection plant in Central Mexico.

2.2. Experimental handling

A total of 658 Mexican swine from a cross of Yorkshire–Landrace mother and a Pietrain sire, were monitored. The day before they left the farm for transportation to the slaughterhouse, random blood samples were taken from 159 pigs approximately 155 days old. This sample was taken as both baseline and reference sample. The next day the animals were transported for 7.5 h from the farm to the slaughterhouse and records were kept until they were sacrificed.

Transportation was done according to the animal care regulations in Mexico (official Mexican regulation NOM-024-ZOO-1995).

* Corresponding author. Tel./fax: +52 55 5483 7535.

E-mail address: dmota40@yahoo.com.mx (D. Mota-Rojas).